



The College of
Family Physicians
of Canada

2630, avenue Skymark Avenue, Mississauga, ON L4W 5A4
905 629-0900 Fax/Téléc. 905 629-0893 <http://www.cfpc.ca>

Le Collège des
médecins de famille
du Canada

CFP • MFC
CANADIAN FAMILY PHYSICIAN • LE MÉDECIN DE FAMILLE CANADIEN

Recommendations to the Editor

	Yes	No	Some Reservations
1) Is the work original?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Is the subject important?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Is it appropriate for the readers of <i>CFP</i> ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) Is the writing clear, and the paper well organized?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5) Is there any evidence of scientific misconduct (plagiarism, inappropriate authorship, duplicate publication, misrepresentation of data)? If yes, please specify in comments to the Editor.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6) Do <u>you</u> have any conflict of interest in reviewing this paper?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The Bottom Line

- Accept as is, Accept with minor revisions,
 Revise - major alterations required, Reject - further work not worthwhile

Please record your Confidential Comments to the Editor and Comments to the Author(s) on separate sheets.



The College of
Family Physicians
of Canada

2630, avenue Skymark Avenue, Mississauga, ON L4W 5A4
905 629-0900 Fax/Téloc. 905 629-0893 http://www.cfpc.ca

Le Collège des
médecins de famille
du Canada

CFP • MFC

CANADIAN FAMILY PHYSICIAN • LE MÉDECIN DE FAMILLE CANADIEN

**What we want
Checklist for qualitative research studies**

PRESENT INCOMPLETE ABSENT

INTRODUCTION

Current state of knowledge is indicated through a concise literature review

Purpose of study and study question are clearly outlined

If using a theoretical model as a framework, it is described

What new information this study will provide is stated clearly

METHODS

Qualitative method chosen is justified

Study context and role of the researcher is described

To reflect diversity of settings and circumstances, a rationale for the purposeful sample is given

Sample size is adequate to answer the question

Information (data) gathering is described in enough detail

Procedures for data analysis are clearly described

(ie, standardized coding techniques,

triangulation, member-checking, use of field notes,

theme saturation, conscious search for contradictory observations)

Ethics approval is indicated

MAIN FINDINGS

Interpretations, themes, or concepts created in the analysis should and appear to flow logically from the description of the analytic process and be supported by appropriate quotations

Enough quotations are cited to report the richness and quality of the evidence supporting the analysis

DISCUSSION

Interpretations and conclusions drawn from the data are consistent with evidence presented in the study

Significance of this study in relation to other literature is addressed

Speculation is reasonable

Future directions for research are indicated

Limitations and how they might have affected results are discussed

CONCLUSION

Is consistent with evidence presented in the study

Answers the research question

REFERENCES

Are relevant, current, complete, and accurate